FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET FOI/PA# 1421628-0

Total Deleted Page(s) = 2
Page 3 ~ Duplicate;
Page 4 ~ Duplicate;

| 36 (Rev. 8-29 |)-85) « *· | FE | 31 | | I |
|---------------|---|--|-------------------|---|--|
| | TRANSMIT VIA Teletype Facsimile Airtei | A: PRECEDE | NCE: Ciate C | CLASSIFICATION: TOP SECRET SECRET CONFIDENTIAL UNCLAS E F T O UNCLAS Date 7/13/87 | |
| 1 | TO: | DIRECTOR, FBI (ATTN: SA | ROOM 38 | 865) | ь6 ь7с |
| . 2 | FROM: | SAC, MOBILE (86A-10 | 5) (RUC) 12 | MY rolosen | (ala) |
| 3 4 | SUBJECT: | SAC, MOBILE (86A-109 UNSUBS) ALLEGATIONS OF THE | improper aw | 4-1500-12- A-1500-13-11 DA-19-43-11 ARDING | The state of the s |
| 5 | , | OF AN 8A CONTRACT TO MARINE, SAN DIEGO, O FAG - SBA | | • | • |
| 6 | | (OO: SAN DIEGO) | | | Q. |
| 7 8 | telcal from | Re Bureau airte <u>l to</u> om Mobile to SA | | San Diego dated 6/ om 3865, 7/10/87. | /29/87; b6 b7 |
| | | Enclosed for the Bur | | | |
| 9 | with enve | g interview of lope containing copie bed in enclosed FD-30 | es of docume | on 7/9/87, alon <u>g</u> | b b |
| 11 | | Enclosed for San Die | | riginal and one co | אמע |
| 12 | along with | reflecting interview h two separate <u>lA env</u> | v of velopes cont | on 7/9/ | ~ ~ |
| 13 | documents | provided by | and Agent's | original notes. | |
| 14 | SHIPBUILD | | | NEW AMERICA | b b |
| 15 | were present | ent throughout the ir | nterview and | d concur with the | |
| 16 | 2 - Bureau | | | | |
| 17 | | iego (Enc.4)UD | | 864-102-3 | |
| 18 | CDD/clm (5) | | • | 86A-102-3 Mar | |
| 19 | | | | \mathcal{G} | |
| 20 | | | | | , |
| 21 | | | | | b |
| | Appro GEARCH | ED MANII'' MAR Transmit | Number) | (Time) | |
| | SEARCE | 1 br | | | |

in RUC status.

b6 allegation is that IRVING I. The essence of b7C REPKIN, formerly Executive Vice President of BAY CITY MARINE, San Diego, California, used his "connections" and influence with Attorney General MEESE and JAMES C. SANDERS, Administrator, U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA), to wrongfully interfere and prevent New America Shipbuilders from obtaining a \$2.5 million contract with the U.S. Coast Guard to construct three aids to nagivation boats. had no specific or direct evidence of REFKIN wrongfully interfering or conspiring with the Attorney General or others concerning this matter. Despite explanations provided by various SBA officials, it remains a mystery to the principals of NEW AMERICA SHIPBUILDERS as to why and how they really lost the contract. believes REFKIN was motivated to so act as his company, BAY CITY MARINE, had been the sole 8(a) certified shipbuilder prior to the certification of NEW AMERICA SHIPBUILDERS in October, 1983, and thus desired to remove its new competitor. It should be noted that the contract lost by NEW AMERICA SHIPBUILDERS was not issued to REFKIN's company, which had also put in for it with the SBA. Apparently due to the protests of b6 and his associates to various Governmental b7C officials, the Coast Guard eventually removed the contract from the 8(a) set aside program for open and competitive bidding. b6 and his associates possess considerable b7C more documentation concerning their efforts and dealings to obtain the Coast Guard contract. They also expressed a willingness to assist and cooperate with the FBI in any further investigation concerning this matter. As all appropriate investigation has been completed by Mobile Division at this time, this case is being placed

يواش